UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 2/1/2021
JOSEPH WATSON,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
Petitioner,	:	
,	:	19-cv-0707 (LJL)
-V-	:	
	:	<u>ORDER</u>
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
	X	

Petitioner's motion, at Dkt. No. 32, for reconsideration pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) of the final judgment, at Dkt. Nos. 30-31, is DENIED.

Rule 60(b) provides for relief from a final judgment where there has been:

LEWIS J. LIMAN, United States District Judge:

(1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b); (3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; it is based on an earlier judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it prospectively is no longer equitable; or (6) any other reason that justifies relief.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). "The standard for . . . [reconsideration] is strict, and reconsideration will generally be denied unless the moving party can point to controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked . . . that might reasonably be expected to alter the conclusion reached by the court." *Woods v. Superintendent*, No. 9:19-CV-505 (GLS), 2020 WL 4462308, at \*1 (N.D.N.Y. Aug. 4, 2020) (quoting *Shrader v. CSX Transp., Inc.*, 70 F.3d 255, 257 (2d Cir. 1995). Plaintiff's motion has not identified such decisions or data, and has not established any grounds for reconsideration under Rule 60(b). The motion is denied.

Case 1:19-cv-00707-LJL Document 33 Filed 02/01/21 Page 2 of 2

Because Petitioner has not at this time made a substantial showing of a denial of a constitutional right, a certificate of appealability will not issue. See 28 U.S.C. 2253. The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for purposes of appeal. *See* 

The Clerk is respectfully directed to close the motion at Dkt. No. 32 and mail a copy of this order to Petitioner.

SO ORDERED.

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

Dated: December 30, 2020 New York, New York

LEWIS J. LIMAN United States District Judge